

# Designing and Building Ocean Optical Instruments

Wayne Slade Harbor Branch Oceanographic Institute Florida Atlantic University

IOCCG SLS Hyderabad – 11 November 2024

VISLAB Low-Angle Scattering Meter

# Why use optics to study the ocean?

In situ: compact active sensors on a wide array of platforms (IOPs, fluorescence), sub-meter and sub-second scales

Remote sensing: satellites that measure ocean color, global and daily scales

In situ: radiometers that measure ocean color, sub-meter and sub-second scales

Additional appeal: in situ sensors are low power and versatile, e.g., deploy backscattering sensor on gliders and floats



<sup>(</sup>Chang and Dickey)

### Light interactions with matter in the ocean



Particle size, shape, and composition are important drivers of optical properties, especially scattering.

Pigments and other molecules absorb and fluoresce; for example, specific pigments indicative of species/group.

Scattering is change in direction of photon via reflection, diffraction, refraction.

Absorption is the removal of photon and conversion of its energy to molecular energy (thermal, chemical, fluorescence emission).

Interaction of light with matter in the ocean can be quantified in terms of "inherent optical properties" (IOPs), that are properties of the medium and do not depend on the ambient light field.

Challenge: can we use optical properties to predict properties of the particles, for example size, composition, specific types of phytoplankton? The challenge is to understand relationships between optical properties and material properties



Given material (e.g., particles), describe optical properties

<u>Direct "forward" problem</u> Given a dragon, describe its tracks.

Measure optical properties, describe material properties

<u>Inverse problem</u> Given a set of tracks, describe the dragon.

# Scattering dependence on particle size



Angular dependence of scattering depends on particle size and can be predicted by theory (e.g., Mie)  $\rightarrow$  "inversion algorithm"

Use measurements of that scattering to estimate particle size characteristics  $\rightarrow$  "inversion algorithm"



## Scattering by bubbles and sediments



Zhang et al. (2002)

VSF Figure from M. Twardowski, see also Twardowski et al. (2012)

## **Basic sensor concepts**

Active: Instrument has built-in source Passive: Light is external to instrument (e.g., sun)





https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:Absorption\_spectrum\_of\_liquid\_water.png

# Measuring Light: Radiometry

	Radiome	etry	The science of measuring electromagnetic (radiant) energy				
Detectors		Thermal – response proportional to energy (light absorbed and converted to heat—thermopile)					
		Quar (phot	ntum – response proportional to number of photons otoelectric effect) MOST COMMON				

Two common ways to talk about optical power

Radiant power  $\Phi_e$  [W] power = energy per time Spectral power  $\Phi_{e,\lambda}(\lambda)$  [W nm<sup>-1</sup>] power per wavelength

We often consider sources or detectors are band-limited (or use an optical bandpass) so total power is integral of spectral power over wavelength.

## **Defining Inherent Optical Properties**

IOPs are properties of the medium and do not depend on the ambient light field.

$$\Phi_{i}(\lambda) \qquad \Phi_{a}(\lambda) \qquad \Phi_{a}(\lambda) \qquad \Phi_{t}(\lambda)$$

$$\Phi_{i}(\lambda) \qquad \Phi_{a}(\lambda) \qquad \Phi_{t}(\lambda) \qquad \Phi_{t}(\lambda)$$
Conservation of Energy 
$$\Phi_{i}(\lambda) = \Phi_{a}(\lambda) + \Phi_{s}(\lambda) + \Phi_{t}(\lambda)$$
Define fraction of power absorbed and scattered
Absorptance
$$A(\lambda) = \frac{\Phi_{a}(\lambda)}{\Phi_{i}(\lambda)} \qquad \text{Scatterance} \quad B(\lambda) = \frac{\Phi_{s}(\lambda)}{\Phi_{i}(\lambda)} \qquad [unitless]$$
Absorption and scattering coefficients are defined per unit distance
$$a(\lambda) = \lim_{\Delta r \to 0} \frac{\Delta A(\lambda)}{\Delta r} = \frac{dA(\lambda)}{dr} \qquad b(\lambda) = \lim_{\Delta r \to 0} \frac{\Delta B(\lambda)}{\Delta r} = \frac{dB(\lambda)}{dr} \qquad [m^{-1}]$$

And we also define "beam attenuation" as the sum of the absorption and scattering coefficients

$$c(\lambda) = a(\lambda) + b(\lambda)$$

#### Example instrument: beam attenuation (transmissometer)

Reality is that instrument has some NON-infinitesimal pathlength R



In the same way the other coefficients  $a(\lambda) = \frac{dA(\lambda)}{dr}$  were defined, i.e.,

Think about attenuance as fraction of power lost through dr

$$c(\lambda) = \frac{dC(\lambda)}{dr} = \frac{-\frac{d\Phi}{\Phi}}{dr}$$

Integrate the attenuation along instrument path

$$\int_0^R c \, dr = -\int_0^R \frac{d\Phi}{\Phi(r)}$$

$$cR = -(\ln \Phi_t - \ln \Phi_i)$$
  $c = -\frac{1}{R} \ln \frac{\Phi_t}{\Phi_i}$ 

Now we just need to build an instrument with light source that measures  $\Phi_t$  and  $\Phi_i$ ...

#### Example instrument: beam attenuation (transmissometer)



Some scattered light also reaches detector since the pinhole can't be infinitesimally small!

# What other factors go into a design?

Spectral characteristics of source LED and photodetector

Durability, maintaining alignment of optics

Pathlength and signal (recall ac-s lab!)  $c = -\frac{1}{R} \ln \frac{\Phi_t}{\Phi_i}$ 



Calibration and data processing



## **Common In Situ Ocean Optics Proxies**

Attonuction	beam-c	How much stuff?
Allenuation	c(λ)	PSD slope $\rightarrow$ particle size
	Turbidity, b, bb	How much stuff?
Scattering	Fwd VSF	Particle sizing (PSD)
	bb/b (VSF sha	pe) Particle composition
Abaaratian	Dissolved	How much DOM, refractory/labile
Absorption	Particles	How much pigment, what pigments? Characterize detrital material
Eluorosconco	chla, phyco	How much pigment, with extra caveats
	Hydrocarbon	oil, crude vs. fine

## Active vs. Passive Sensing

Radiometers are passive sensors

Passive Sensors



No modulation allows long and dynamic integration time

**Active Sensors** Mono Det Mono

**IOP** sensors are active sensors

Source modulation needed for separation of ambient light, limiting detector integration time

## Measuring angular scattering





## Near-forward scattering measurement with LASM

Collimated source (broadband lamp)

Scattering imaged through lens onto field stop – pinhole for transmission, annuluses for angles  ${\rm <5}^{\circ}$ 



VERTICAL DIMENSIONS ARE EXAGGERATED

Petzold (1972) "Volume Scattering Functions for Selected Ocean Waters"

## Near-forward scattering measurement with LISST



radius on a focal plane detector ( $r = f \sin \theta$ )

# MASCOT scattering sensor



Sullivan and Twardowski (2009) doi:10.1364/AO.48.006811

Figure/data from M. Twardowski

## LISST-VSF scattering and polarization instrument



Eyeball scans VSF from approx. 10 to 160°, LISSTtype near-forward scattering optics measures VSF from approx. 0.1 to 15°, and the two are merged during processing



Slade et al. (2013)

# Measuring VSF at an angle in the backwards direction







Sequoia Scientific Hyper-bb

# **Building Ocean Optics Sensors**

#### Some common themes in design

Quantifying Optical Power	Photodiodes Photomultiplier CCD/CMOS arra	Cali tubes watt ays watt	bration: :/amp :/count	Active sensors can use reference detector	
Light	Broadband	Conventiona Xenon lamp Broadband (	Conventional lamps (vis-IR) Xenon lamps, UV lamps Broadband (white) LEDs		
Sources	Narrowband	LEDs UV to IR Lasers Spectral options		IR ral options vary	
Spectral Separation	Monochromator Spectrometer	Bandpass filter Gratings Prisms	rs Reflective	Linear variable filters e, concave, transmissive	
	Dichroics	Long- or short	r short-pass		

# **Building Ocean Optics Sensors**

#### Some common themes in design

Spectral Bands	Broadband / undefined Single wavelength band Multiple wavelength bands	Design with bandpass Multi-spectral Hyperspectral			
Optical Elements	Lenses Beamsplitter Cube/Plate	Polarizers Diffusers			
Collimating	Creating "light beam" from source	Limiting FOV in detectors	Use lens or concave mirror		
Ambient Light Rejection	Modulation of source (on-off) to subtract ambient				

#### Photodiodes are the most common detectors in ocean optical instruments



Semiconductor PIN-junction is similar to a normal diode, but constructed to allow light onto junction

Absorption of photon with sufficient energy generates electron-hole pair  $\rightarrow$  photocurrent



Wavelength (nm)

#### https://www.hamamatsu.com/us/en/product/optical-sensors/photodiodes/index.html

# Photodiode Example Transimpedance Amp = current to voltage converter Low impedance input for photodiode current Large gain set by Rf

 $V_{out} = -I_d R_f$ 

Back of envelope: 1 nW power, ~500 nm, incident on PD. Responsivity at 500 nm is 0.35 A/W, so photocurrent generated is 0.35 nA. TIA has Rf = 1 M $\Omega$ , so Vout = -0.35 mV.

Typical next steps in system: additional gain, level shifting, digitization

How much light can PD receive before op-amp saturates? (dynamic range)

What happens to unwanted ambient light? How can you reduce unwanted light reaching your sensor?

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Transimpedance\_amplifier

#### Light Sources in Active Sensing

For active sensors, light sources can be major limitations

Trade-offs in spectral characteristics, power, collimation, stability

Broadband vs. narrowband sources

Common broadband sources: Halogen, deuterium, xenon lamps White LEDs

Common narrowband sources: LEDs and lasers

## Examples of LED sources

Advantages: simple, compact, long-life, modulation-capable, stable, high power, spectral bandpass



Disadvantages: large emitting area can make collimation difficult/inefficient, not truly broadband

https://www.thorlabs.com/navigation.cfm?guide\_id=33





Wavelength (nm)

### Examples of lamp sources



Advantages: simple (halogen), high power, broadband

Disadvantages: shorter lifetime, inefficient and hot, difficult drive electronics (xenon)

https://www.thorlabs.com/navigation.cfm?guide\_id=33

### Examples of laser sources

Lasers solve several source issues if you want a single wavelength

High efficiency and high power Easily collimated Pulsed or continuous options Polarized







## Linear variable bandpass (or edgepass) filters



Wavelength (nm)

https://deltaopticalthinfilm.com/ wp-content/uploads/2022/11/LVVISBP-LF102773.pdf

## Application of LVF – Sequoia Hyper-bb (and SeaBird ac-s)



## Application of LVF – Sequoia Hyper-bb (and SeaBird ac-s)



Hyperspectral measurement is configurable over ~430 to 700 nm, typ. application would be 430:10:700 nm channels, scan takes ~10-15 sec

Channel bandwidth is spectrally dependent (approx. 8 to 18 nm FWHM)





https://www.thorlabs.com/newgrouppage9.cfm?objectgroup\_id=148

# Spectrometer: diffraction grating for spectral separation (stationary optics approaches)



https://www.azom.com/article.aspx?ArticleID=13367

https://support.zemax.com/hc/en-us/articles/ 1500005578762-How-to-build-a-spectrometer-theory

## Examples of "Lego"-style spectrometers for instrumentation

#### Mini-spectrometer C12880MA



Spectral response range: 340 to 850 nm Spectral resolution: 15 nm max.



#### FREEDOM UV-VIS



Footprint: 48 mm x 54 mm x 16 mm

Spectral response range: 190 to 850 nm Configurable resolution: 1.7 nm to 11.4 nm



Recall that near-forward scattering shape depends on particle size – "forward" problem

Calculations based on Mie theory for spheres (other models could be used)





Near-forward light scattering depends on size of particles in sample. Measuring scattering at multiple angles allows you to estimate particle size distribution.

# Measuring fluorescence is similar to measuring scattering



Use bandpass elements in the transmit and receive optics to define the "excitation" and "emission" bands around  $\overline{\lambda}_{ex}$  and  $\overline{\lambda}_{em}$ .

Example: chlorophyll





doi: 10.1016/j.phpro.2017.01.026

## Fluorescence Exitation/Emission

P/N	Application	MDL	Linear Range	LED (CWL)	Excitation	Emission	Solid Standard
2300-251	CDOM/FDOM	0.1 ppb* 0.5 ppb**	0-1,500 ppb* 0-3,000 ppb**	365 nm	325/120 nm	470/60 nm	2300-902
2300-200	Chi in vivo (Blue Excitation)	0.03 µg/L	0-500 µg/L	460 nm	465/170 nm	696/44 nm	2300-901
2300-203	Chl in vivo (Red Excitation)	0.3 µg/L	>500 µg/L	635 nm	≤ 635 nm	> 695 nm	2300-901
2300-220	Fluorescein Dye	0.01 ppb	0-500 ppb	460 nm	400/150 nm	545/28 nm	2300-901
2300-253	Oil - Crude	0.2 ppb**	0-1,500 ppb**	365 nm	325/120 nm	410-600 nm	2300-902
2300-255	Oil - Fine (Refined Fuels)	0.4 ppm***	0-20 ppm***	255 nm	≤ 290 nm	350/50 nm	2300-902
2300-252	Optical Brighteners for Wastewater Monitoring	0.6 ppb **	0-2,500 ppb **	365 nm	325/120 nm	445/15 nm	2300-902
2300-231	Phycocyanin (Freshwater Cyanobacteria)	2 ppb <sup>PC</sup>	0-4,500 ppb <sup>PC</sup>	590 nm	590/30 nm	≥ 645 nm	2300-901
2300-230	Phycoerythrin (Marine Cyanobacteria)	0.1 ppb <sup>PE</sup>	0-750 ppb <sup>PE</sup>	525 nm	515-547 nm	≥ 590 nm	2300-901
2300-250	PTSA	0.1 ppb**	0-650 ppb**	365 nm	325/120 nm	405/10 nm	2300-902
2300-210	Rhodamine Dye	0.01 ppb	0-1000 ppb	530 nm	535/60 nm	590-715 nm	2300-901
2300-256	Tryptophan for Wastewater Monitoring	3 ppb	5,000 ppb	275 nm	5 Se	350/55 nm	2300-902
2300-240	Turbidity	0.05 NTU	0+1,500 NTU	850 nm	850 nm	850 nm	N/A



http://docs.turnerdesigns.com/t2/doc/spec-guides/998-2381.pdf

## Measuring radiance – Gershun tube







Collimator

#### Ocean color remote sensors are radiometers

PACE/OCI measures 340 – 890 nm at 5 nm resolution + SWIR

Mirrors are used to fold, transmit, and collimated the incoming light

Separation into two systems using dichroics D1, D2, each has a grating spectrometer





## Parting thoughts...

Both simple and complex optical instruments use similar optics and design approaches

Common themes include characterization, calibration, data processing, validation – always good to cross-compare different approaches

Understanding a bit about how optical instruments work will help to understand data and potential issues with methods



P.C.D. Hobbs, "Building Electro-Optical Systems" (3<sup>rd</sup> Ed, 2022)







MAXIMIZING PERFORMANCE

IN OPTICAL SYSTEMS

M. Johnson, "Photodetection and Measurement" (2003)

D.O Sullivan and T. Igoe, "Physical Computing: Sensing and Controlling the Physical World with Computers" (2004)

## Unofficial homework...

Article



**OPEN ACCESS** 

*In situ* Measurements of Phytoplankton Fluorescence Using Low Cost Electronics

ISSN 1424-8220 www.mdpi.com/journal/sensors

Thomas Leeuw \*, Emmanuel S. Boss and Dana L. Wright

Article Open Access Published: 20 June 2022

scientific reports

Open-source, low-cost, in-situ turbidity sensor for river network monitoring



Jessica Droujko 🖂 & Peter Molnar



Article



An Affordable Open-Source Turbidimeter

Christopher D. Kelley <sup>1,\*</sup>, Alexander Krolick <sup>2</sup>, Logan Brunner <sup>1</sup>, Alison Burklund <sup>1</sup>, Daniel Kahn <sup>1</sup>, William P. Ball <sup>1</sup> and Monroe Weber-Shirk <sup>3</sup>

