

### Above- and in-water radiometry: Methods and Calibration



Giuseppe Zibordi

(giuseppe.zibordi@jrc.it)

Joint Research Centre of European Commission



# Radiometric Calibration:

### Immersed

The process of quantifying the sensitivity decrease of the measuring system in-water due to the an increase in the refractive index of the medium in contact with the collector.



#### $I_{\rm f}$ for E Sensors

The Immersion Factor for irradiance sensors accounts for the change in the reflectance and transmittance of the water-collector with respect to the air-collector interfaces.



**G.Zibordi et al**. Characterization of the immersion factor for a series of in–water optical radiometers. *Journal of Atmospheric and Oceanic Technology*, 21:501-514, 2004.





Aas, E., 1969: On submarine irradiance measurements. Institute of Physical Oceanography, University of Copenhagen, Denmark.

Tyler, J. E., and R. C. Smith, 1970: Measurements of Spectral Irradiance Underwater. Gordon and Breach.



#### The Measuring Apparatus ( $I_f$ for E)





**G.Zibordi et al**. Characterization of the immersion factor for a series of in-water optical radiometers. *Journal of Atmospheric and Oceanic Technology*, 21:501-514, 2004.



#### Results from $I_f$ measurements (E)







 $I_{\rm f}$  for L Sensors

The Immersion Factor for radiance sensors accounts for the change in the solid angle fieldof-view and, in the reflectance and transmittance of the water-optics with respect to the air-optics interfaces.



 $=\frac{n_{w}(n_{w}+n_{g})^{2}}{(1+n_{g})^{2}}$ 

Theoretical (basic)

$$T_{f} = \frac{\Omega_{a}}{\Omega_{w}} \frac{t_{ag}}{t_{wg}} \frac{T_{ag}}{T_{wg}} \frac{T_{ad}}{T_{wd}}$$
  
Theoretical (expanded, and core difficult to determine)

G.Zibordi. Immersion factor of in-water radiance sensors: assessment for a class of radiometers. Journal of Atmospheric and Oceanic Technology, 2006.



#### Historical Background

LAD ": REMINE DISTITUTION OF OCCUNOCIAPINS BILITY LANCHATOR 7 April 1976 ML-76-004t TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM Subject: Air-Water Radiance Calibration Factor instruments for the measurement of spectral radiance underwater may be calibrated by viewing a surface of known radiance in the laboratory, This difference in environment must be accounted for when interpreting the readings from such instruments, i.e., in the data reduction process. The purpose of this memorandum is to provide the details of the logical derivation of the calibration factor for record, and to list values of the factor for wavelengths from 360 to 740 nanometers. Values will be presented for three-window materials, viz. Pluxiglas, quarts, and Schott BN7 borosilicate crown glass. The seawater in which the measur are made will be assumed to have a salinity of 35% and a temperature of 16°C. The sensitivity of the factor to changes in the index of refraction of the water and the window will be presented. The radiometer may be schematically represented by the accompanying The rainmeter may be schematically represented by the schematizing figure. Basically the instrument consists of a detector (e.g., a photomulti-plier tube coupled to a monochrometor); a field stop; a lens'having an area A which, in conjunction with the field stop, determines Q, the solid angle of the field-of-view of the instrument; and, lastly, a window with index of refraction a which separates the instrument from the environment in which the instrument is inhedded. The environment will be either girs(s in the case of collibration or menurements in air or or meter - in the normal index of of calibration or measurements in air -- or water -- in the normal underwater measurement situation, Der Rug MEASUREMENT MEDIUM WATESTAR - AIRSTR.=1.00 WINDOW. LENS. LAREL "A")

- 0

NOV 13 2001 12122

FIELD STOP

DETECTOR R

619 504 BETR ML-76-0142

SIO/Vis Lab DL-82-005t 23 September 1982

Technical Memorandum

Subject: Air-Water Radiance Calibration Factor - Gershun tube.

Ref. (a): Vis Lab Tech Memo ML-76-004t, 7 April 1976

Background. The use of a Gershun Tube to determine the field of view of a radiance meter has the advantage of simplicity of design and construction as well as providing a simple means of converting an irradiance meter to a radiance meter in the field. Because it consists simply of a tube with two field-determining stops, the calibration factor for in-air use may be estimated fairly accurately from a simple calibration of the solid angle if, say, the angle is small, the irradiance meter has a proper cosine response function, and the radiance field is isotropic. In any event, once the system has been calibrated in the laboratory for radiance and irradiance and the factor for the Gershun tube is known, it is not necessary to perform both calibrations each time a recalibration is required. Furthermore, there need be little concern about changes in the transmission of the optical elements as there might be when an imaging telescopic system in used.

Some confusion has existed with respect to the necessity to apply an  $n^2$  correction when using a Gershun tube radiance meter. Such a correction would be similar to that used to accomodate for the decreased solid angle which occurs at a plane window when an imaging radiance meter is immersed in water vice being in air as for calibration. (For example, Ref. (a) gives calibration factors for "imaging" systems.) Controlled measurements in an indoor tank were performed to confirm the nature of the behavior of the instrument in this respect and to determine the immersion factor which accounts for the change in behavior of the diffuser when it comes in contact with water. The following describes these tests and the rationale for the results.

Austin, R., 1976: Air-water radiance calibration factor. Scripps Institution of Oceanography Tech. Memo. ML-76-004T.

Austin, R., & Petzold T.J., 1982: Air-water radiance calibration facto- Gershun tube. Scripps Institution of Oceanography Tech. Memo. DL-82-005T.



Experimental determination of  $I_f$  for L sensors



**G.Zibordi**. Immersion factor of in-water radiance sensors: assessment for a class of radiometers. Journal of Atmospheric and Oceanic Technology, 2006.



#### The Measuring Apparatus $(I_f for L)$



**G.Zibordi**. Immersion factor of in-water radiance sensors: assessment for a class of radiometers. Journal of Atmospheric and Oceanic Technology, 2006.



#### Results from $I_f$ measurements (L)



**G.Zibordi** and M.Darecki. Immersion factor for the RAMSES series of hyper-spectral underwater radiometers. *Journal of Optics A – Pure and Applied,* 8: 252-258, 2006.



# Radiometric Calibration:



# The process of quantifying the goodness of the angular response of a cosine collector to a collimated source.



#### Cosine response



**G.Zibordi et al.** The Eight SeaWiFS Intercomparison Round Robin Experiment (SIRREX-8). NASA Tech. Memo. 2002-206892, v. 21, S.B.Hooker and E.R.Firestone, Eds., NASA GSFC, Greenbelt, Maryland, 2002, 39 pp.



#### Determination of the Cosine Response



**G.Zibordi and B.Bulgarelli**, Uncertainties in irradiance measurements from a class of radiometers: the cosine error. *Applied Optics*, 46, 5529-5538, 2007.



#### Error due to Non-Cosine Response



**G.Zibordi and B.Bulgarelli**, Uncertainties in irradiance measurements from a class of radiometers: the cosine error. *Applied Optics*, 46, 5529-5538, 2007.



# Concluding remark

In view of comprehensively supporting satellite ocean color, field radiometry needs to rely on:

- a. Consolidated measurement protocols;
- b. Comprehensive, accurate and traceable calibrations.



## - In Situ Radiometric Products: Uncertainty Analysis and Applications



Giuseppe Zibordi

(giuseppe.zibordi@jrc.it)

Joint Research Centre of European Commission



### Uncertainties

- Absolute radiometric calibration
- Sensor sensitivity decay
- Deployment perturbations
- Measurement methodology
- Environmental perturbations
- Data analysis and products retrieval



#### Absolute Calibration Uncertainties

Joint Research Centre



**S.Hooker**, et al., The Seventh SeaWiFS Intercalibration Round-Robin Experiment (SIRREX-7), NASA/TM-2001-206892, v. 17, NASA SSFC, 2001.



#### Self-Shading



Gordon H R, Ding K (1992) Self-shading of in-water optical instruments. Limnol Oceanogr 37:491-500. Zibordi G. and Ferrari G.M. (1995), Instrument self shading in underwater optical .... Applied Optics, 34: 2750-2754. Aas E, Korsbø B (1997) Self-shading effect by radiance meters on upward radiance ... Limnol Oceanogr 42: 968-974. Piskozub J, Weeks A R, Schwarz JN, Robinson IS (2000) Self-shading of upwelling .... Appl Opt 39:1872-1878. Leathers RA, Downes TV, Mobley CD (2001) Self-shading correction for upwelling .... Optics Express 8:561-570.



#### Superstructure (in water)



Superstructure perturbations depend on geometry of the deployment structure, deployment geometry and, seawater and atmosphere optical properties.



Gordon HR (1985) Ship perturbation of irradiance measurements at sea .... Appl Opt 24:4172-4182. J.P.Doyle & G.Zibordi (2002) Optical propagation within a 3-dimensional shadowed .... Applied Optics, 41:4283-4306.



#### Superstructure (above water)



S. B. Hooker and G. Zibordi. Platform perturbation in Above-Water Radiometry. Applied Optics, 44, 553-567, 2005.



#### $L_{WN}$ uncertainties

Uncertainty defines closeness between value of quantity obtained from measurements and its true value (it has a probabilistic basis and reflects incomplete knowledge of the measured value).

In water	LWN			Above water	L <sub>WN</sub>		
	443	551	667		443	551	667
Absolute calibration	2.8	2.8	2.8	Absolute calibration	2.7	2.7	2.7
Sensitivity change	0.3	0.3	0.3	Sensitivity change	0.2	0.2	0.2
Corrections	1.0	1.1	2.6	Corrections	2.0	2.9	1.9
$E_d(0^+)$ & $E_0$	3.4	2.8	2.8	t <sub>d</sub>	1.5	1.5	1.5
Extrapolation	1.0	0.9	2.4	ρ	1.5	0.6	2.5
Environmental var.	1.1	1.3	2.8	Environmental var.	2.1	2.1	6.4
Quadrature sum	4.8	4.4	6.0	Quadrature sum	4.5	4.7	7.8

G.Zibordi and K.J.Voss, Field Radiometry and Ocean Color Remote Sensing. In *Oceanography from Space, revisited.* V.Barale, J.F.R.Gower and L.Alberotanza Ed.s, Springer, Dordrecht, pp. 365–398, 2010.



# Applications

- Vicarious Calibration
- Validation of satellite products
- Algorithms development



#### Vicarious Calibration

Ocean bio-optical applications need uncertainties in primary remote sensing radiometric products lower than 5%, which require uncertainties roughly lower than 0.5 % at the satellite level.

This extremely high accuracy requirement can only be satisfied through vicarious calibration:

the adjustment of instrument calibration coefficients *forcing* convergence of top-of-atmosphere radiometric signal with that computed applying *in situ* measurements relying on mission specific atmospheric and radiative transfer models.

Clearly any bias in the *in situ* data is transferred to the remote sensing data.

Gordon, H R (1987) Calibration requirements and methodology for remote sensors viewing the oceans in the visible. Remote Sens.Environ., 22, 103–126.





#### MOBY: buoy



#### MOBY

Dera, J., W. Wensierski, and J. Olszewski (1972) A two-detector integrating system for optical measurements in the sea. Acta Geophys. Pol., 20, 3-159.

D. K. Clark, M. E. Feinholz, M.A. Yarbrough, B. C. Johnson, S. W. Brown, Y.S. Kim, and R. A. Barnes (2002). Overview of the radiometric calibration of MOBY. Earth Observing Systems VI, SPIE 4483, 64-76.





#### Vicarious Calibration (SeaWiFS)



$$g_{f}(\lambda) = \frac{L_{ToA}^{COMP}[L_{WN}(\lambda)]}{L_{ToA}^{SAT}(\lambda)}$$

#### **Principles**

The correction factors  $g_f$  are determined by applying the methodology established by Bailey et al. 2008.

Bailey SW et al.. (2008). Sources and assumptions for the vicarious calibration .... Appl Opt 47:2035-2045.
F.Mélin and G.Zibordi (2010). Vicarious calibration of ocean color data using coastal sites. Appl.Opt., 49:798-810.



#### Validation of radiometric products

Validation is the process of assessing by independent means, the quality of the data products derived from the system outputs (crudely, validation is an evaluation of the goodness of products different from verification that is an evaluation of the correctness of the process applied to generate products).



In situ and satellite derived  $L_{WN}$  spectra



Satellite (MODIS) versus in situ (AERONET-OC)  $L_{WN}$  match-up analysis

G.Zibordi et al. (2009). Validation of satellite ocean color primary products. Remote Sens. Env., 113, 2574-2591.



# Minimization of uncertainties in regional radiometric products



D.D'Alimonte, G.Zibordi and F.Mélin. A statistical method for generating cross-mission consistent normalized water-leaving radiances. *IEEE Transactions in Geoscience and Remote Sensing*, 46, 2008. 31



# Mapping L<sub>WN</sub>



G. Zibordi, J.-F. Berthon, F. Mélin and D. D'Alimonte Cross-site consistent in situ measurements for satellite ocean color applications: the BiOMaP radiometric dataset. *Remote Sensing of Environment*,115, 2104–2115, 2011.



## De-Mapping L<sub>WN</sub>



G. Zibordi, J.-F. Berthon, F. Mélin and D. D'Alimonte Cross-site consistent in situ measurements for satellite ocean color applications: the BiOMaP radiometric dataset. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 115, 2104–2115, 2011.



# Cross-mission $L_{WN}$ validation





# Intra-annual climatology of L<sub>WN</sub>

**Joint Research Centre** 

![](_page_34_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Picture_0.jpeg)

## Intra-Annual Analysis

**Overall Matchups (Jan-Dec)** 

![](_page_35_Figure_3.jpeg)

In the following analysis  $\Delta m$  and  $\Delta rmsd$  will indicate the difference between intra-annual and annual values of *m* and *rmsd*.

![](_page_36_Picture_0.jpeg)

### Inter-annual variability (L<sub>WN</sub>)

![](_page_36_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Picture_0.jpeg)

# Sun-zenith dependence $(L_{WN})$

![](_page_37_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Picture_0.jpeg)

# Concluding remark

Field radiometry is a fundamental complement to satellite ocean color. In fact it is the means to support:

- a. vicarious calibration of space sensors;
- b. development of bio-optical algorithms;
- c. assessment of primary satellite products.

Because of this, research and development in marine radiometry has seen a considerable raise during the last two decades aiming at:

- i. reducing uncertainties;
- ii. standardizing measurements.

![](_page_39_Picture_0.jpeg)

# Back-up

![](_page_40_Picture_0.jpeg)

# Inter-Comparison of *In Situ* Radiometric Methods

![](_page_41_Picture_0.jpeg)

Joint Research Centre

![](_page_41_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_41_Figure_2.jpeg)

G.Zibordi et al. In situ determination of the remote sensing reflectance: an inter-comparison. Ocean Science D, 2012.

#### ARC inter-comparison ( $E_d(0^+)$ )

Joint Research Centre

![](_page_42_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_42_Figure_2.jpeg)

G.Zibordi et al. In situ determination of the remote sensing reflectance: an inter-comparison. Ocean Science D, 2012.

#### ARC inter-comparison $(R_{rs})$

Joint Research Centre

![](_page_43_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_43_Figure_2.jpeg)

 $L_{wn}(\lambda) = R_{rs}(\lambda)E_0(\lambda)$ 

G.Zibordi et al. In situ determination of the remote sensing reflectance: an inter-comparison. Ocean Science D, 2012.